

Internal Audit Progress Report

Audit Committee (September 2024)

North Wales Fire and Rescue Service

Contents

1 Introduction

2 Key Messages for Audit Committee Attention

Appendix A: Contract Performance

Appendix B: Performance Indicators

Appendix C: Assurance Definitions and Risk Classifications

Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

Our work was completed in accordance with Public Sector Internal Audit Standards and conforms with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing.

Executive Summary

This report provides an update to the Audit Committee in respect of the progress made in against the Internal Audit Plan for 2024/25 and brings to your attention matters relevant to your responsibilities as members of the Audit Committee.

This progress report provides a summary of Internal Audit activity and complies with the requirements of the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.

Comprehensive reports detailing findings, recommendations and agreed actions are provided to the organisation, and are included within the Audit Committee papers. In addition, a consolidated follow up position is reported on a periodic basis to the Audit Committee.

This progress report covers the period 1st June 2024 to 31st August 2024.

3 Executive Summary

2024/25 Audit Reviews

The following reviews have been finalised:

- Procurement (Substantial Assurance)

Overall, the review identified that controls were designed and operating effectively, noting some areas of improvement. MIAA testing of a sample of purchase orders and contracts identified that procurement activity had been supported by purchase orders and contracts for goods and services in line with financial procedures and that these had been approved in line with the current Scheme of Financial Delegation (SoFD) and authorisation limits set up within the finance processing system. However, our review identified a number of areas to further improve the control environment in place. These related to the enhancement of the contract register to assist in the monitoring of contracts, retention of documentation to support the review of contract validation and the automation of contract authorisation.

The full report has been included separately in the Audit Committee papers.

The following reviews are in progress:

- Training Strategy Implementation Plan (Planning)
- Attendance at Budget Scrutiny meetings (when required)

Follow Up

Our follow up work is in progress.

Audit Plan Changes

Audit Committee approval will be requested for any amendments to the original plan and highlighted separately below to facilitate the monitoring process.

- The Executive Team has requested that the Whole Time Rostering/Duty System review be removed and replaced with a review of the Training Strategy Implementation Plan.

Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

In January the Institute of Internal Auditors issued revised Global Internal Audit Standards (GIAS).

The Relevant Internal Audit Standard Setters (RIASS) for the UK Public Sector have agreed to use the new GIAS as the basis for internal auditing for the UK Public Sector and have asked the UK Public Sector Internal Auditing Standards Advisory Board (IASAB) to carry out a review of the new standards with a view to identifying and producing any sector specific interpretations or other material needed to make them suitable for UK public sector use.

The implementation date for the new standards in the UK public sector will be 1st April 2025. Until then, the existing Public Sector Internal Audit Standards will continue to apply.

When the IASAB issues material for application in the UK public sector MIAA will review this and amend our processes if required to continue to ensure full compliance with standards.

The latest updates from the IASAB can be accessed via the following link: <https://www.iasab.org/latest-news>

Added Value

Events



- [How to Lead in a Hybrid World \(16th October 2024\)](#): It has been suggested that the number 1 challenge for people working in this way is the "Lack of casual conversations. This Masterclass explore the ways in which organisations can help generate team spirit and culture?" support leaders to effectively lead a team with people who aren't in the room?
- [Evidence Based Working \(22nd November 2024\)](#): How Can a Better Evidence-Based Approach Support Local Government to Meet its Challenges? Rob Brinan, a Professor of Organizational Psychology in the School of Business and Management. will be joining us to explore what we know in relation to how local government responds to situations, and how a more evidence based approach may improve practice.

Events are free to all clients and bookings can be made by clicking on the above link or via our website www.miaa.nhs.uk and click on Events.

Appendix A: Contract Performance

The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) state that ‘The chief audit executive must deliver an annual internal audit opinion and report that can be used by the organisation to inform its governance statement.’

The table summarises the delivery of your Head of Internal Audit Opinion for 2024/25:

HOIA Opinion Area	TOR Agreed	Status	Assurance Level	Audit Committee Reporting
Risk Management		Q4 delivery		March 2025
Training Strategy Implementation Plan		Planning		December 2024
Key Financial Systems		Q3 delivery		March 2025
Procurement		Completed	Substantial	September 2024
Attendance at Budget Scrutiny meetings	N/A	As required		N/A
Follow Up				
Q1	N/A	Completed	N/A	June 2024
Q4	N/A	Q4 delivery		June 2025

If due to circumstances beyond our control we are unable to achieve sufficient depth or coverage, we may need to caveat opinions and explain the impact of this and what will be done to retrieve the position in future.

Appendix B: Performance Indicators

The primary measure of your internal auditor's performance is the outputs deriving from work undertaken. The following provides performance indicator information to support the Committee in assessing the performance of Internal Audit.

Element	Reporting Regularity	Status	Summary
Delivery of the Head of Internal Audit Opinion (Progress against Plan)	Each Audit Committee	Green	No issues to report
Issue a Client Satisfaction Questionnaire following completion of every audit.	Every Final report includes a questionnaire for client feedback	Green	
Percentage of recommendations raised which are agreed	Each Audit Committee	Green	
Percentage of recommendations which are implemented	Follow Up will be reported twice per year	Green	
Qualified Staff	Annual	Green	MIAA have a highly qualified and diverse workforce which includes 75% qualified staff.
Quality	Annual	Green	MIAA operate systems to ISO Quality Standards. The External Quality Assessment, undertaken by CIPFA, provides assurance of MIAA's compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards. MIAA conforms with the Public Sector Internal Audit Code of Ethics.

Appendix C: Assurance Definitions and Risk Classifications

Level of Assurance	Description
High	There is a strong system of internal control which has been effectively designed to meet the system objectives, and that controls are consistently applied in all areas reviewed.
Substantial	There is a good system of internal control designed to meet the system objectives, and that controls are generally being applied consistently.
Moderate	There is an adequate system of internal control, however, in some areas weaknesses in design and/or inconsistent application of controls puts the achievement of some aspects of the system objectives at risk.
Limited	There is a compromised system of internal control as weaknesses in the design and/or inconsistent application of controls puts the achievement of the system objectives at risk.
No	There is an inadequate system of internal control as weaknesses in control, and/or consistent non-compliance with controls could/has resulted in failure to achieve the system objectives.

Risk Rating	Assessment Rationale
Critical	Control weakness that could have a significant impact upon, not only the system, function or process objectives but also the achievement of the organisation's objectives in relation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the efficient and effective use of resources the safeguarding of assets the preparation of reliable financial and operational information compliance with laws and regulations.
High	Control weakness that has or is likely to have a significant impact upon the achievement of key system, function or process objectives. This weakness, whilst high impact for the system, function or process does not have a significant impact on the achievement of the overall organisation objectives.
Medium	Control weakness that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> has a low impact on the achievement of the key system, function or process objectives; has exposed the system, function or process to a key risk, however the likelihood of this risk occurring is low.
Low	Control weakness that does not impact upon the achievement of key system, function or process objectives; however implementation of the recommendation would improve overall control.

Limitations

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information in this report is as accurate as possible, based on the information provided and documentation reviewed, no complete guarantee or warranty can be given with regards to the advice and information contained herein. Our work does not provide absolute assurance that material errors, loss or fraud do not exist.

Responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by internal audit should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system.

Reports prepared by MIAA are prepared for your sole use and no responsibility is taken by MIAA or the auditors to any director or officer in their individual capacity. No responsibility to any third party is accepted as the report has not been prepared for, and is not intended for, any other purpose and a person who is not a party to the agreement for the provision of Internal Audit and shall not have any rights under the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999.

Anne-marie Harrop

Regional Assurance Director

Tel: 07920 150313

Email: Anne-marie.harrop@miaa.nhs.uk

Angharad Ellis

Senior Audit Manager

Tel: 07469 378328

Email: Ann.ellis@miaa.nhs.uk